

Docket No.: 217900US2X

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RECEIVED

OBLON

SPIVAK

McClelland

MAIER

NEUSTADT

P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000

GMAIER@OBLON.COM

SURINDER SACHAR (703) 413-3000

SSACHAR@OBLON.COM

NOV 2 3 2004

GROUP 3600

Applicants: Yoshio ITOI, et al. Filing Date: December 28, 2001

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/028,793

For: SYSTEM AND METHOD OF ASSISTING GOODS COLLECTION AND RECORDING MEDIUM

Group Art Unit: 3627

Examiner: Zeender, Florian M.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

I:\ATTY\SNS\21's\217900\REST RESP DUE 111904 CVR.DOC

1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314 U.S.A. TELEPHONE: 703-413-3000 FACSIMILE: 703-413-2220 WWW.OBLON.COM

NOV -1 9 2004 2 7900US2X

3627 191

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF:

:

YOSHIO ITOI, ET AL.

EXAMINER: ZEENDER, FLORIAN M.

*SERIAL NO.: 10/028,793

:

FILED: DECEMBER 28, 2001

GROUP ART UNIT: 3627

FOR: SYSTEM AND METHOD OF ASSISTING GOODS COLLECTION AND RECORDING MEDIUM

RECEIVED

NOV 2 3 2004

GROUP 3600

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Restriction requirement of October 19, 2004, applicants elect, with traverse, the invention of Group II, Claims 25-29.

Applicants traverse the outstanding Restriction requirement on the grounds that it has not been established that it be an undue burden to examine each of the noted inventions and claims together.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, a Restriction is not proper if a search and examination can be made without a serious burden on the Examiner, and the outstanding Restriction requirement has not established that examining each of the currently-pending claims together would result in an undue burden.

M.P.E.P. § 803 specifically states:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions. Application No. 10/028,793
Reply to Restriction Requirement of October 19, 2004

The outstanding Restriction requirement has not established that each of the claims could be examined without an undue burden, and thus each of the noted inventions and claims should be examined on their merits.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Maier

Registration No. 25,599

Surinder Sachar

Registration No. 34,423

Attorneys of Record

22950

22850

Tel.: (703) 413-3000

Fax: (703) 413-2220

GJM/SNS/jrs

I:\ATTY\SNS\21's\217900\217900us-REST DUE 111904.DOC